

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,952	02/27/2004	Robert L. McMahan	2197-040452	5785
7590 11/26/2004			EXAMINER	
William H. Logsdon			GROSZ, ALEXANDER	
WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. 700 Koppers Building			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
436 Seventh Avenue			3673	
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/789,952	MCMAHAN, ROBERT L.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Grosz	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IS SET TO EXPIRE MON 6(a). In no event, however, may a repty be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	TH(S) FROM ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 10 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{114.09}{4.09}$						
_ V						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)· 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 744404		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3673

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to a headboard for a bed, classified in class 5, subclass
 53.1.
- II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a method for supporting a roller in a headboard, for moving a patient, classified in class 5, subclass 81.1R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the headboard of Group I could be used without a roller, as an "aesthetic" headboard, or the recess therein could be used to mount a light, a speaker or a support.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Logsdon on 11/15/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3673

In order to expedite prosecution, in response to this action, Mr. Logsdon may want to correct figure 7, so that numeral 252 will <u>not</u> identify two different elements; illustrate angle alpha (P [0027], line 8), and use number 258, in paragraph [0029] to <u>not</u> identify both a gap and a base.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number 703-308-2498.

Grosz/vs November 23, 2004 ALEXANDER GROSZ PRIMARY EXAMINED